Sent By: IP Strategy Group; To: USPTO At: 15712738300

**CUSTOMER NO 32,986** 

RECEIVED

CENTRAL FAX CENTER

IP STRATEGY GROUP, P.C. Intellectual Property Law Office P.O. Box 700640 San Jose, CA 95170-0640

MAR 0 6 2006 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. LMRX-P079/P0602

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Huang	
Application No. 09/539,313	Examiner: England, David E.
Filed: 03/30/2000	Group No. 2143
Title: PLUG AND PLAY SENSOR INTEGRATION FOR A PROCESS MODULE	Confirmation No. 7930
	Certificate of Facsimile Transmission  I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being transmitted to the U.S.  Patent Office on March 6, 2006 via facsimile transmission to fax number 571-273-8300. Total transmission:

## **INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

Dear Sir:

Examiner David E. England and applicant Joseph A. Nguyen conducted an interview on February 14, 2006 via telephone.

Claims discussed: 1

Identification of prior art discussed: Kail, V.

Agreement with respect to the claims was reached.

Applicant's Attorney agrees with the Examiner's interview summary, which is reproduced below.

"Attorney faxed claim amendment ideas that would overcome the prior art listed in the rejection pending, "the computing system being unaware of a data type specification transmitted by the first sensor upon the transmitting the command to get the reportable specification." Examiner stated that if it was stated that the "computing system having no prior knowledge of a data type..." it would more clearly portray that the device is new instead of the device being older but having a user updated/change the type of information the first sensor would monitor and then having the computing system, with a knowledge of the sensor, re-request a data type for its records. This rejection appears to overcome the prior art of record but changes the scope of the invention and would require further search and consideration".

Respectfully submitted, /Joseph A. Nguyen/ Reg. No. 37,899 Joseph A. Nguyen

(408) 257-550